

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 04-6522

ROBERT JAMES CROSBY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

WARDEN, Roxbury Correctional Institution; J.
JOSEPH CURRAN, JR., Attorney General of the
State of Maryland,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Baltimore. William D. Quarles, Jr., District Judge.
(CA-03-1191-WDQ)

Submitted: September 29, 2004

Decided: October 8, 2004

Before LUTTIG and KING, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior
Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Robert James Crosby, Appellant Pro Se. Susan Howe Baron, DEPARTMENT
OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, Baltimore, Maryland;
John Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General, Baltimore, Maryland, for
Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Robert James Crosby appeals from the district court's order denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2000) petition, which challenged the revocation of his mandatory supervised release and the subsequent denial of parole. We have reviewed the record in this case and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Crosby v. Warden, No. CA-03-1191-WDQ (D. Md. filed Feb. 26, 2004 & entered Mar. 1, 2004). In addition, we note that Crosby's claim that he was improperly subjected to drug testing was waived by his admission to violations of his release. Further, Crosby's claim that he was entitled to "necessary aftercare" was not exhausted, has been procedurally defaulted, and cannot be reviewed on the merits. See Hamm v. Saffle, 300 F.3d 1213, 1216 (10th Cir. 2002) (state prisoner bringing § 2241 petition must exhaust state and administrative remedies). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED